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Key Findings

- There were 570,705 annual unlinked passenger boardings on Imperial Valley Transit buses between July 1, 2019, and June 30, 2020.
- Bus riders during 2019-2020 traveled a total of 5,626,647 passenger miles.
- The 2016-2017 passenger mile sampling study reflected a much higher degree of ridership
  - Between July 1, 2016, and June 30, 2017, Imperial Valley Transit enjoyed 741,968 boardings, 30.0 percent higher than in 2019-2020.
  - During that 2016-2017 study period passenger miles traveled were 7,365,032—31 percent higher than in 2019-2020.
- Much of this drop-off can be ascribed to the advent of the Covid-19 pandemic that struck the United States and, to a significant extent, Imperial County. As detailed in the report, bus service was stopped on some routes in March 2020 and reduced on almost every other route.
- The 8.5 months during which operations were at their full level, still experienced a sample decline of 44.8 passenger miles per trip from the 2016-2017 period. This represents a 19 percent decline in 2019-2020 even before the Covid-19 service reductions.
- According to the 2019-2020 sample, there were
  - 16.97 unlinked passenger boardings per bus trip
    - 16.13 on weekdays
    - 31.17 on Saturdays
    - 23.75 on Sundays
  - 166.72 passenger miles traveled per bus trip
    - 160.38 on weekdays
    - 289.80 on Saturdays
    - 194.40 on Sundays
  - 9.85 average miles traveled per passenger per bus trip
    - 9.92 on weekdays
    - 9.30 on Saturdays
    - 8.19 on Sundays
Introduction

The County of Imperial provides fixed-route bus service throughout the County through the services of Imperial Valley Transit, which was created in 1989. Imperial Valley Transit began as a 5-route system with 3 buses running Monday through Friday. The passenger ridership initially averaged approximately 3,000 passengers a month.

The transit service is operated as a turnkey operation by First Transit, Inc. The service is administrated and funded by the Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC). The Commission members represent each City, the County, and the Imperial Irrigation District.

Funding is provided annually through the ICTC adopted Overall Work Program Budget and Finance Plan. The source of the funding includes but is not limited to federal FTA 5307, 5311 and 5317 funds, State Transportation Development Act (TDA) including Local Transportation (LTF) and State Transit Assistance (STA), and local fare revenue.

The Federal Register of September 25, 1987, specifies that certain operating data must be collected from federally funded transit systems as part of the Section 15 Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System. One such required set of data that is required is an annual estimate of unlinked passenger trips and passenger miles that is reliable with 95 percent confidence to a margin of error of +/- 10 percent.

ICTC contracted with Rea & Parker Research of San Diego, California to conduct the study that would require Rea & Parker Research personnel to ride randomly selected Imperial Valley Transit bus trips for a one-year period that covered July 1, 2019-to-June 30, 2020. Rea & Parker Research had conducted the most recent passenger mile sampling studies for Imperial Valley Transit in 2016-2017, 2013-2014, 2010-2011, and 2008-2009.

Methodology

The Urban Mass Transportation Administration and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) developed several suggested sampling techniques for collecting annual estimates of unlinked passenger trips and passenger miles. A transit agency may use a technique other than the UMTA recommended techniques as long as it meets the prescribed precision and confidence levels. Prior passenger mile samples (most recently July 1, 2013-to-June 30, 2014) performed for Imperial
Valley Transit by Rea & Parker Research utilized the Federal Transportation Authority National Transit Database Circular 2710.1A to establish the requisite sample. On April 7, 2015, the FTA withdrew its sampling circulars and replaced them with a new NTD Sampling Manual.

Transit systems had been offered the opportunity to convert to the new method of sampling supported by the National Transit Database (NTD). This new method would most likely result in fewer samples required but in a highly irregular schedule that could make the securing and retention of employees to conduct the requisite counts to be challenging. All individual bus trip data were tracked and recorded electronically in 2010-2011 and 2013-2014 so that the new methodology could be a reasonable alternative in the future, and when Circular 2710.1A was withdrawn, this change in sampling method (NTD Sampling Methodology) was adopted by Rea & Parker Research.

The option is also available to devise a statistically valid method that differs from the NTD methodology. However, Rea & Parker Research elected to utilize the NTD methodology because it is specifically designed to address small transit systems. As such, no determination of variance, standard deviation, the margin of error, confidence levels, or intervals was undertaken by Rea & Parker Research independent of this approved methodology. These statistics would have been required to develop a method of sampling unique to Imperial Valley Transit. Richard A. Parker, Ph.D., and Louis M. Rea, Ph.D. have extensive experience in statistical sampling. Attached as an appendix to this report are the academic and professional consultative experiences of Richard A. Parker, Ph.D. and Louis M. Rea, Ph.D., the principal investigators and analysts for this study.

Application of the data from the 2016-2017 study that utilized the current recommended method (NTD-provided template) produced the results shown in Table 1. The three methods (Base, APTL, and PPMT) are shown to produce annual sample sizes of 410 (Base), 166 (APTL), and 554 (PPMT). The APTL (Average Passenger Trip Length) Option is available if the transit agency maintains a 100% count of unlinked passenger trips, estimates the average passenger trip length (APTL) through random sampling, and obtains annual PMT by multiplying the 100% UPT with the estimated APTL. Imperial Valley Transit meets all of these requirements. Rea & Parker Research selected the monthly sample of 14 randomly selected trips as the most efficient sampling method.

In prior years sample sizes were more in line with the Base or PPMT sample sizes. For example, 565 sample trips were counted in the 2013-2014 study. What allows the APTL study to be smaller is the availability of the 100 percent count of unlinked trips and the fact that the 2016-2017 study
provided the necessary data for the APTL option. Rea & Parker Research contacted Lillian Pecoraro, the NTD Validation Specialist who oversees Imperial Valley Transit reporting to NTD to obtain her approval. She responded as follows in a February 12, 2019 e-mail to Richard Parker.

The NTD sampling procedure is a self-certified process, so we generally don’t review the sampling plans or results unless there is an issue. If you are using the NTD Sampling Manual and the template correctly, then the sample size it determines is the minimum sample needed to estimate the PMT with the required confidence and precision level. To respond to your questions:

1) If the sampling template gives this sample size, then this is the required number. Since the NTD validation team does not review the sampling plans, I cannot answer how this compares to other systems.
2) You do not need to alter the results that were based on the 2016-2017 data.

Given that this method was acceptable to NTD in 2016-2017, this e-mail indicates that following the same procedure is approved for 2019-2020. For reasons pertaining mostly to ease of retaining employees, the Monthly frequency of 12 randomly sampled trips was selected by Rea & Parker Research, as it was in 2016-2017.

In preparation for the commencement of the study and the sample selection, Rea & Parker Research entered each bus trip into an SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) database as a code, indicating the day of the week, week number in the period used for sampling, bus route number, and the number of the unique unlinked trip on that day. After this was done, 12 trips were selected at random by SPSS for each month. The number of days upon which these 12 trips fell ranged from as low as 8 days to a maximum of 11 days in any given month. For the year, 144 trips were sampled on 110 days.

Due to the Coronavirus/Covid-19 pandemic that afflicted all of the United States starting in the Winter of 2019-2020, Imperial Valley Transit bus schedules were greatly reduced in March 2020—first on March 16 by eliminating all routes that began or ended at Imperial Valley College following its closure and then by making dramatic cuts and passenger load adjustments on March 26, as follows:
IVT Fixed Route service will operate on a Saturday schedule during weekdays Monday thru Friday. The following is a summary of the modifications:

- 1 South will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 7:10 a.m. to 7:15 p.m., Saturday and Sunday schedule to remain the same.
- 1 North will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 5:55 a.m. to 8:30 p.m., Saturday and Sunday schedule to remain the same.
- 2 South will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 6:20 a.m. to 7:40 p.m., Saturday and Sunday schedule to remain the same.
- 2 North will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 6:00 a.m. to 8:20 p.m., Saturday and Sunday schedule to remain the same.
- 3 East will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 1:20 p.m. to 6:40 p.m., Saturday schedule to remain the same.
- 3 West will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 7:10 a.m. to 7:20 p.m., Saturday schedule to remain the same.

---

**Table 1: Sample Size Options**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Sample Size by Frequency</th>
<th>Annual Realized Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Annual Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Base Option</td>
<td>• 322</td>
<td>• 322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) APTL Option</td>
<td>• 138</td>
<td>• 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) PPMT Option</td>
<td>• 465</td>
<td>• 465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Quarterly Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Base Option</td>
<td>• 81</td>
<td>• 324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) APTL Option</td>
<td>• 35</td>
<td>• 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) PPMT Option</td>
<td>• 117</td>
<td>• 468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Monthly Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Base Option</td>
<td>• 27</td>
<td>• 324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) APTL Option</td>
<td>• 12</td>
<td>• 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) PPMT Option</td>
<td>• 39</td>
<td>• 468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Weekly Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Base Option</td>
<td>• 7</td>
<td>• 364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) APTL Option</td>
<td>• 3</td>
<td>• 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) PPMT Option</td>
<td>• 9</td>
<td>• 468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• 4 West will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 5:40 p.m., Saturday schedule to remain the same.
• 4 East will run Saturday schedule, Monday through Friday 8:00 a.m. to 5:40 p.m., Saturday schedule to remain the same.
• Routes 21 and 22 (IVC Express) have been temporarily suspended - No Service
• Routes 31 and 32 (Direct AM and PM) to utilize Saturday Service.
• Routes 41S and 41N (Brawley Fast) have been temporarily suspended
• Routes 45W and 45E (Holtville Fast) have been temporarily suspended
• Routes 51N and 51S (Slab City – Bombay Beach – Brawley) to remain.
• Blue Line – Alternating Trips
• Green Line – Alternating Trips
• Gold Line – Suspension of 1st and 2nd trips and 11th and 12th trips

The sample, therefore, had to be redrawn for the remainder of the year following these cuts. The 2019-2020 sample proved to be an excellent subset of the total bus trips made during the period (Table 2), where the only difference between the sample distribution and total bus trips that were of note was on Saturday.

### Table 2: Sample and Total Distribution of Bus Trips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Sample Distribution</th>
<th>Actual Bus Trips 7/1/19-6/30/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weekday AM (before 9am)</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekday Midday (9am-3pm)</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekday PM (3pm-7pm)</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekday Night (after 7pm)</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Onboard personnel was given an assignment log (as depicted in the Exhibit A example) and trip sheets (Exhibit B example), as demonstrated on the succeeding pages and as included in full with this report in a separate document. The assignment log provided specific instructions about where to board each bus, where and when to exit, and whether or, not that trip was one that was selected for sampling. If the trip was selected, trip sheets were attached to which the Rea & Parker Research counting employee on board was to record boardings and alightings, with some onboard counts between stops, and to also record the vehicle ID number for determining bus capacity. The onboard staff member was also required to enter the bus odometer reading at each stop made by the bus to determine passenger miles traveled.

The Rea & Parker Research supervisor, who was based in the City of Imperial, collected and distributed these forms to the counting personnel and sent the manually completed forms twice per month to San Diego for data entry by Rea & Parker Research staff. Math and logic checks by either Richard A. Parker, Ph.D., or Louis M. Rea, Ph.D. were also conducted. At that point in time, the manual information was entered electronically, the distance between stops was added to the forms, and the total number of passenger miles sampled were determined. A completed trip sheet (Exhibit C) also follows in the next pages of this report for illustrative purposes. These completed forms were then compiled into an Excel file that maintained a running balance of counts and mileage. A sample of that Excel file also follows (Exhibit D), and it is this format that was utilized to comply with the NTD sampling method. The full one-year form is included with this report in a separate document.
# EXHIBIT A: Imperial Valley Transit Passenger Sampling Assignment Log

**Assignment# __5-9/10__**

**Employee: __Alvaro________________**

**DATE: ____November 20, 2019____**

**Day of Week: ____Weds.________**

---

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR REPORTING/FINISHING IF OTHER THAN FIRST OR LAST STOP**

**Reporting Time:**

**Reporting Place:**

**Finishing Time:**

**Finishing Place:**

---

## On-Board Bus Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus Route</th>
<th>Trip Serial #</th>
<th>Beginning Stop</th>
<th>Begin Stop Time</th>
<th>Last Stop</th>
<th>Last Stop Time</th>
<th>Bus Scheduled for Data Collection</th>
<th>Special Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1s</td>
<td></td>
<td>El Centro State &amp; 7th</td>
<td>11:15am</td>
<td>Calexico Hacienda &amp; Ollie</td>
<td>12:00pm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>STAY ON BUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1n</td>
<td>1n-11</td>
<td>Calexico Hacienda &amp; Ollie</td>
<td>12:10pm</td>
<td>El Centro State &amp; 7th</td>
<td>1:25pm</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>45-minute break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2n</td>
<td>2n-07</td>
<td>El Centro State &amp; 7th</td>
<td>2:10pm</td>
<td>Brawley 5th &amp; G</td>
<td>3:07pm</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brawley 5th &amp; G</td>
<td>3:08pm</td>
<td>El Centro State &amp; 7th</td>
<td>4:20pm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Board bus already on route—very tight schedule—next 2s bus at 4:22 pm and ends at 5:30 pm—will pay to 5:30 no matter which you catch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### EXHIBIT B: BLANK SURVEY TRIP SHEET

| (1) Trip Serial No. | (2) Date | (3) Day of Week | (4) Time Period | (5) Route No. | (6) Vehicle Inventory Number | (7) Total Capacity | (8) Seated Capacity | (9) Stop No. | (10) Stop Description | (11) Odometer Reading | (12) Passengers Boarded | (13) Passengers De-Boarded | (14) Passengers On Board | (15) Distance Between Stops | (16) | (17) Passenger Miles (15) x (16) |
|---------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
|                     |         |                |                  | 1 north       |               |                 |                |            | 1 Hacienda/Ollie St. |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 2 Ollie/Birch St. - Post Office |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 3 Kloke St/Birch St/ Hwy 98 |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 4 Kloke St./Grant St. |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 5 Grant St./Cesar Chavez St. |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 6 Emerson/6th |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 7 Third St./Paulin |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 8 Mary/4th St. |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 9 Encinas/7th (Church) |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 10 Encinas/Belcher. (Library) |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 11 Blair/Birch (Hospital/Clinic) |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 12 Rockwood/Vega |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 13 Rockwood/Robert Kennedy |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 14 Cole Rd./Rockwood |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 15 Cole/111 |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 16 Heber/Nina |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | 17 Heber/Parkyns |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | Totals       |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | (26) Capacity Miles (7) x (22) |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
|                     |         |                |                  |               |               |                 |                |            | (27) Seat Miles (8) x (22) |                     | 0                           |                           |                            |                           | 0                          |                       | 0                            |
**EXHIBIT B: BLANK SURVEY TRIP SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Trip Serial No.</th>
<th>(2) Date</th>
<th>(3) Day of Week</th>
<th>(4) Time Period</th>
<th># of Pages 2 of 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5) Route No.</td>
<td>(6) Vehicle Inventory Number</td>
<td>(7) Total Capacity</td>
<td>(8) Seated Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 north</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Stop No.</td>
<td>(10) Stop Description</td>
<td>(12) Odometer Reading</td>
<td>(13) Passengers Boarded</td>
<td>(14) Passengers De-Boarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Dogwood/Correll</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Imperial Valley Mall</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 4th/Danenberg</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 4th St. / Wake St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Aurora / 4th St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Aurora / Cypress</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 El Centro Regional Med. Ctr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Ross St. / 10th St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 4th St. / Wensley</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 4th St. / Brighton</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 State St. / 5th St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 State St. / 7th St.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(26) Capacity Miles (7 x (22))</td>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(27) Seat Miles (8 x (22))</td>
<td>(20) Mean On Board</td>
<td>(21) (22) (23) Mean On Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stop No.</th>
<th>Stop Description</th>
<th>Odometer Reading</th>
<th>Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers On Board</th>
<th>Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>State/7th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>State/8th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>State/14th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Imperial/Commercial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Imperial/Euclid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bradshaw/Imperial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>La Brucherie/Bradshaw</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aten / La Brucherie</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aten / Cross</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Imperial Valley College</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Imperial Transfer Terminal--Barioni/M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Imperial/9th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pioneers Hospital--Brawley</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./2nd St.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Brawley--5th/G Street</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./S. 9th</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(26) Capacity Miles (7) x (22)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(27) Seat Miles (8) x (22)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EXHIBIT B: BLANK SURVEY TRIP SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Trip Serial No.</th>
<th>(2) Date</th>
<th>(3) Day of Week</th>
<th>(4) Time Period</th>
<th>(5) Route No.</th>
<th>(6) Vehicle Inventory Number</th>
<th>(7) Total Capacity</th>
<th>(8) Seated Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 north</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(9) Stop No.</th>
<th>(10) Stop Description</th>
<th>(12) Odometer Reading</th>
<th>(13) Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>(14) Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>(15) Passenger(s) On Board</th>
<th>(16) Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>(17) Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./S. Palm St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Brawley--Palm St. / J St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Brawley--S. Main St. / Palm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Brawley--Main St/Cesar Chavez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Brawley--Main/3rd St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Brawley--E St./Rio Vista</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Westmorland--Center/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Westmorland--Center/6th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Calipatria--Main St. / Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Calipatria--111/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Niland--111/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Totals | (26) Capacity Miles (7) x (22) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|        | (27) Seat Miles (8) x (22) | 0 | Mean On Board | 0 |           | |
**EXHIBIT C—COMPLETE SURVEY TRIP SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Trip Serial No.</th>
<th>(2) Date</th>
<th>(3) Day of Week</th>
<th>(4) Time Period</th>
<th>(5) Route No.</th>
<th>(6) Vehicle Inventory Number</th>
<th>(7) Total Capacity</th>
<th>(8) Seated Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1n-11</td>
<td>11-20-19</td>
<td>WEDS</td>
<td>MID</td>
<td>1 north</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stop No.</th>
<th>Stop Description</th>
<th>Odometer Reading</th>
<th>Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>Passenger On Board</th>
<th>Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hacienda/Ollie St.</td>
<td>311135.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ollie/Birch St. - Post Office</td>
<td>311136</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kloke St/Birch St/ Hwy 98</td>
<td>311136.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kloke St./Grant St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grant St./Cesar Chavez St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Emerson/6th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Third St./Paulin</td>
<td>311138.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mary/4th St.</td>
<td>311138.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Encinas/7th (Church)</td>
<td>311139.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Encinas/Belcher.(Library)</td>
<td>311139.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Blair/Birch (Hospital/Clinic)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rockwood/Vega</td>
<td>311140.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Rockwood/Robert Kennedy</td>
<td>311141</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cole Rd./Rockwood</td>
<td>311141.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cole/111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Heber/Nina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Heber/Parkyns</td>
<td>311145.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(26) Capacity Miles</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>(27) Seat Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(7) x (22)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(8) x (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101.6</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>557.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33 x (20) = 660
201 x (21) = 4221
13.6 x (22) = 299.2
126.2 x (23) = 2929.6
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trip Serial No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Day of Week</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Route No.</th>
<th>Vehicle Inventory Number</th>
<th>Total Capacity</th>
<th>Seated Capacity</th>
<th>Stop No.</th>
<th>Stop Description</th>
<th>Odometer Reading</th>
<th>Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>Passenger On Board</th>
<th>Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1n-11</td>
<td>11-20-19</td>
<td>WEDS</td>
<td>MID</td>
<td>1 north</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>11-20-19</td>
<td>WEDS</td>
<td>MID</td>
<td>1 north</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXHIBIT C: COMPLETED SURVEY TRIP SHEET

- **Capacity Miles**: \( (7) \times (22) \)
- **Seat Miles**: \( (8) \times (22) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(26) Capacity Miles</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>(20)</th>
<th>(21)</th>
<th>(22)</th>
<th>(23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1571.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>152.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(27) Seat Miles</th>
<th>Mean On Board</th>
<th>(24)</th>
<th>(25)</th>
<th>(26)</th>
<th>(27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>795.4</td>
<td>8.7586206</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean On Board**: 8.7586206
## EXHIBIT C: COMPLETED SURVEY TRIP SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stop No.</th>
<th>Stop Description</th>
<th>Odometer Reading</th>
<th>Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>Passengers On Board</th>
<th>Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>State/7th</td>
<td>338257.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>State/8th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>State/14th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Imperial/Commercial</td>
<td>338258</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Imperial/Euclid</td>
<td>338258.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bradshaw/Imperial</td>
<td>338259.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>La Brucherie/Bradshaw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aten / La Brucherie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aten / Cross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Imperial Valley College</td>
<td>338264.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Imperial Transfer Terminal-Barioni/M</td>
<td>338269.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Imperial/9th</td>
<td>338269.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pioneers Hospital--Brawley</td>
<td>338278.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./ 2nd St.</td>
<td>338279.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Brawley--5th/G Street</td>
<td>338280.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./S. 9th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Totals

- **(26) Capacity Miles (7) x (22):** 1854.9
- **(27) Seat Miles (8) x (22):** 938.9

### Calculations

- **(20) Total Capacity:** 30
- **(21) Passengers On Board:** 30
- **(22) Passenger Miles:** 142
- **(23) Distance Between Stops:** 22.9
- **(24) Passenger Miles:** 207.5

---

*Annual Passenger Mile Sampling (2019-2020)*  
*Imperial County Transportation Commission/Imperial Valley Transit*  
*Rea & Parker Research*  
*July 2020*
### EXHIBIT C: COMPLETED SURVEY TRIP SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Trip Serial No.</th>
<th>(2) Date</th>
<th>(3) Day of Week</th>
<th>(4) Time Period</th>
<th>(5) Route No.</th>
<th>(6) Vehicle Inventory Number</th>
<th>(7) Total Capacity</th>
<th>(8) Seated Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2n-07</td>
<td>11-20-19</td>
<td>WEDS</td>
<td>MID</td>
<td>2 north</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(9) Stop No.</th>
<th>(10) Stop Description</th>
<th>(12) Odometer Reading</th>
<th>(13) Passengers Boarded</th>
<th>(14) Passengers De-Boarded</th>
<th>(15) Passengers On Board</th>
<th>(16) Distance Between Stops</th>
<th>(17) Passenger Miles (15) x (16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Brawley--K St./S. Palm St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Brawley--Palm St. / J St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Brawley--S. Main St. / Palm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Brawley--Main St/Cesar Chavez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Brawley--Main/3rd St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Brawley--E St./Rio Vista</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Westmorland--Center/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Westmorland--Center/6th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Calipatria--Main St. / Park</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Calipatria--111/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Niland--111/Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>Capacity Miles (7) x (22)</th>
<th>Passengers On Board (20)</th>
<th>Distance Between Stops (21)</th>
<th>Passenger Miles (15) x (16) (22)</th>
<th>Mean On Board (23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1854.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>12.586206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938.9</td>
<td>(8) x (22)</td>
<td>Mean On Board</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT D
EXCEL COMPUTERIZED TRIP-BY-TRIP DATA ENTRY
(EXAMPLE: NOVEMBER, 2019 INPUT)

Data Input from Survey Trip Sheets highlighted in Blue—Balance of Columns are Running Calculations by Excel
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Day of Week</th>
<th>Month #</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Boarded-UPT</th>
<th>Sum of Boardings to date</th>
<th>On-Board</th>
<th>Sum of On-Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/1/2019</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/2019</td>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>9786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/2019</td>
<td>Tues</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/2019</td>
<td>Tues</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>10382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/2019</td>
<td>Tues</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Is</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>10505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/14/2019</td>
<td>Thurs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1222</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/18/2019</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>10620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/18/2019</td>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/2019</td>
<td>Weds</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>10909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/20/2019</td>
<td>Weds</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2n</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>11051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/23/2019</td>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1n</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>11163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/29/2019</td>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1s</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>11270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Trip Distance</td>
<td>Sum of Distance</td>
<td>Passenger Miles=PMT</td>
<td>Sum of PMT</td>
<td>Capacity Miles</td>
<td>Sum of Capacity Miles</td>
<td>Seat Miles</td>
<td>Sum of Seat Miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1064.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>10675.2</td>
<td>409.2</td>
<td>68375.2</td>
<td>277.2</td>
<td>36499.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1084.4</td>
<td>380.3</td>
<td>11055.5</td>
<td>1636.2</td>
<td>70011.4</td>
<td>828.2</td>
<td>37327.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1098.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11093.5</td>
<td>427.8</td>
<td>70439.2</td>
<td>289.8</td>
<td>37617.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1117.1</td>
<td>355.3</td>
<td>11448.8</td>
<td>1530.9</td>
<td>71970.1</td>
<td>774.9</td>
<td>38392.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>1130.5</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>11592.3</td>
<td>1085.4</td>
<td>73055.5</td>
<td>549.4</td>
<td>38942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>1154.6</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>11875.9</td>
<td>1952.1</td>
<td>75007.6</td>
<td>988.1</td>
<td>39930.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1168.7</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>11907.8</td>
<td>437.1</td>
<td>75444.7</td>
<td>296.1</td>
<td>40226.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>1198.5</td>
<td>206.5</td>
<td>12114.3</td>
<td>2413.8</td>
<td>77858.5</td>
<td>1221.8</td>
<td>41448</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1217.9</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>12266.8</td>
<td>1571.4</td>
<td>79429.9</td>
<td>795.4</td>
<td>42243.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>1240.8</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>12474.3</td>
<td>1854.9</td>
<td>81284.8</td>
<td>938.9</td>
<td>43182.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1260.4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>12541</td>
<td>1587.6</td>
<td>82872.4</td>
<td>803.6</td>
<td>43985.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1275.1</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>12671.7</td>
<td>1190.7</td>
<td>84063.1</td>
<td>602.7</td>
<td>44588.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Route Length</td>
<td>PPMT</td>
<td>AUPTL</td>
<td>PMT/PPMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>22519.20303</td>
<td>9.68711</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>23316.16212</td>
<td>9.68931</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>23397.90152</td>
<td>9.68865</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>24358.33939</td>
<td>9.6047</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>24726.16667</td>
<td>9.58041</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>24971.38485</td>
<td>9.71841</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>25175.73333</td>
<td>9.66542</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>25318.77727</td>
<td>9.77748</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>26156.60606</td>
<td>9.58344</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>26769.65152</td>
<td>9.52237</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>27035.30455</td>
<td>9.47921</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.43484848</td>
<td>27341.82727</td>
<td>9.47063</td>
<td>0.048936012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determining Average Daily Passenger Miles Traveled

According to the National Transit Base Sampling Manual ¹

(a) You must determine the sample APTL (Average Passenger Trip Length) for a given sample as the ratio of sample total PMT (Passenger Miles Traveled) oversample total UPT (Unlinked Passenger Trips) for the following cases: (1) for the entire sample, (2) by type of service days², or (3) by service group.

(b) To determine the weighted sample APTL for an entire sample you should follow these steps:
   i. Determine each service day’s size in the number of service units operated.
   ii. Compute each service day’s share of the number of service units operated. These shares must sum to 1.
   iii. Determine each service day’s sample size in the number of service units in the sample.
   iv. Determine each service day’s sample total UPT.
   v. Determine each service day’s sample total PMT.
   vi. Compute each service day’s sample average for UPT.
   vii. Compute each service day’s sample average for PMT.
   viii. Sum the product of each service day’s share of the number of service units operated and its sample average UPT.
   ix. Sum the product of each service day’s share of the number of service units operated and its sample average PMT.
   x. Compute the ratio of the result from (9) to the result from (8). This ratio gives the weighted sample APTL.
   xi. Multiply your sample average APTL for each type of service day with the corresponding 100% count of UPT to get the annual total PMT for each type of service day.
   xii. Sum the above products across all types of service days to get your annual total PMT.

¹ Section 83 (subsection 83.05), pp. 42-43
² Service Days will be used for Imperial Valley Transit. Types of service days are defined in the manual (p. 52) as Weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays
This method is applied in Table 3.

Table 3
Passenger Mile Sample: Annual Passenger Count and Miles
Imperial Valley Transit
July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Type of Service Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weekdays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Day Annual Trips</td>
<td>32,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proportion of Total Trips</td>
<td>.8947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Total Unlinked Passenger Trips (UPT)</td>
<td>2,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Total PMT (Passenger Miles Traveled)</td>
<td>21,490.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Average UPT</td>
<td>16.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Average PMT</td>
<td>160.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Average APTL</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Sample Average UPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Sample Average PMT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Sample APTL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% UPT Counts(^3)</td>
<td>532,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of 100% UPT Counts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample APTL * 100% UPT Count</td>
<td>5,281,110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of Sample APTL * 100% UPT Counts = Total PMT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows that the 570,705 annual unlinked boardings for Imperial Valley Transit bus riders travel a total of 5,626,647 passenger miles. The 2016-2017 passenger mile sampling study reflected a much higher degree of ridership, as follows:

- Between July 1, 2016, and June 30, 2017, Imperial Valley Transit enjoyed 741,968 boardings, 30.0 percent higher than in 2019-2020.

\(^3\) 100% count supplied by Imperial Valley Transit

Rea & Parker Research  
Imperial County Transportation Commission/ Imperial Valley Transit  
July 2020
• During that 2016-2017 study period passenger miles traveled were 7,365,032—31 percent higher than in 2019-2020.

Much of this drop-off can be ascribed to the advent of the Covid-19 pandemic that struck the United States and, to a significant extent, Imperial County. As detailed in the report, bus service was stopped on some routes in March 2020 and reduced on almost every other route. That said, the 8.5 months during which operations were at their full level, still experienced a sample decline of 44.8 passenger miles per trip from the 2016-2017 period. This represents a 19 percent decline in 2019-2020 even before the Covid-19 reductions.

The 2019-2020 study sample shows:

• 16.97 unlinked passenger boardings per bus trip
  ▪ 16.13 on weekdays
  ▪ 31.17 on Saturdays
  ▪ 23.75 on Sundays

• 166.72 passenger miles traveled per bus trip
  ▪ 160.38 on weekdays
  ▪ 289.80 on Saturdays
  ▪ 194.40 on Sundays

• 9.85 average miles traveled per passenger per bus trip
  ▪ 9.92 on weekdays
  ▪ 9.30 on Saturdays
  ▪ 8.19 on Sundays

### Boardings and Passenger Miles in Urban Areas

All bus routes except for the Gold Line in Brawley route 22 north and south between Imperial Valley College and Niland, and routes 51 north and south between Brawley and the Salton Sea serve urban Imperial County. Out of Imperial Valley Transit’s 36,479 annual bus trips between July 1, 2019, and June 30, 2020, 35,657 were urban (97.7 percent).

Consistent with the proportion of urban trips, Imperial Valley Transit counts show that 97.2 percent of Imperial Valley Transit boardings (554,903 urban area boardings out of 570,705 total). The sample indicates that 97.4 percent of passenger miles occur on the urban routes (23,383.3 urban out of 24,006.7 total). This equates 5,480,354.07 annual passenger miles on the urban routes.
Not surprisingly, urban routes experience more boardings per bus trip (18.2) than does the overall system (17.0) and more passenger miles per bus trip (181.3) than the overall system (166.7). The percentage of passenger miles to seat capacity for urban trips is 23.1 percent, which is only slightly higher than the system-wide seat miles occupied by 22.6 percent.
APPENDIX: Qualifications of Rea & Parker Research

Richard A. Parker, Ph.D.

Louis M. Rea, Ph.D.
Rea & Parker Research is a statistical sample survey and market research and economic consulting firm based in San Diego, California. It was founded by Louis M. Rea, Ph.D. and Richard A. Parker, Ph.D. in 1984 and has grown into a well-respected, financially stable, and substantial research organization with clients throughout the State of California and the Southwest who will attest to the firm's ability to deliver a quality product within the originally designated budget and in accordance with the contracted schedule. Rea & Parker Research is a certified Small Business Enterprise by the State of California.

With particular reference to the current project, the references below will indicate a wealth of experience in on-board bus and rail projects for several entities in Southern California, transportation research including intercept surveys, focus group analysis, and telephone surveys. Rea & Parker Research has extensive experience working with major public agencies in Southern California and the State of California. Rea & Parker Research has 30 years of experience in transportation-related surveys for both the public and private sectors.


Louis M. Rea is an emeritus professor of city planning in the School of Public Affairs at San Diego State University where he served as school director for nearly twenty-five years. He teaches graduate courses in statistical analysis, transportation planning, survey research, program design and evaluation, and urban/fiscal problems, as well as undergraduate courses in introductory public administration and policy and evaluation in Criminal Justice.

Rea & Parker Research utilizes the university offices and state-of-the-art computer facilities, along with access to renowned scholars and reference material when necessary.

Rea & Parker Research is a division of Rea & Parker, Incorporated, a California corporation—incorporated in 1985 (Fed ID: 33-0156230). Its home office in San Diego is located at 4875 Casals Place, San Diego.
Rea & Parker Research has conducted transportation-related research as well as research associated with water issues, immigration, and energy for over 30 years.

Survey Research Clients:

- State of California--Senate Rules Committee
- State of California--Senate Special Committee on Border Issues
- State of California--Office of the Auditor General
- State of California--Office of the Attorney General
- Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
- Southern California Regional Rail Authority (Metrolink)
- Orange County Transportation Authority
- California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS)
- Bay Area Rapid Transit
- Metropolitan Transit System (San Diego Transit)
- North County Transit District
- Fresno Area Express
- Fresno Council of Governments
- Gold Line Authority (Los Angeles MTA)
- Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)
- San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)
- San Diego Gas & Electric
- San Diego County Sheriff’s Department
- San Diego County Water Authority
- San Diego Unified School District
- Poway Unified School District
- Imperial Irrigation District
- Otay Water District
- Vallecitos Water District
- University of California-Berkeley
- University of California-Los Angeles
- University of California-Davis
- County of Orange
- County of San Diego
- City of San Diego
- City of San Diego Housing Commission
- City of Escondido
- City of Carlsbad
- City of Poway
- City of Davis
- City of St. Helena
- City of Dana Point
- City of Oceanside
- Imperial Valley Association of Governments
- Imperial County Transportation Commission
• Imperial Valley Transit
• Santa Clarita Transit
• Santa Monica Municipal Bus Lines
• Culver CityBus
• Foothill Transit
• El Monte Transit
• Torrance Transit
• Cerritos on Wheels
• Commerce Transit
• Los Angeles Commuter Express
• Pasadena ARTS
• Carson Circuit
• Alhambra Transit
• Clovis Stage Line
• Riverside Transit Agency
• San Diego County Law Library
• City Heights Community Development Corporation (City of San Diego)
• Centre City Development Corporation (City of San Diego)
• North Park Main Street Association (San Diego)
• San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority
• California Center for Sustainable Energy
• Costco Wholesale Corporation
• Safeway, Inc.

Economic Research Clients:

• State of California--Senate Rules Committee
• State of California--Senate Special Committee on Border Issues
• State of California--Office of the Auditor General
• State of California--Office of the Attorney General
• California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS)
• Southern California Gas Company
• San Diego County Sheriff’s Department
• San Diego Unified School District
• San Diego Gas & Electric
• Southern California Gas Company
• Pardee Construction Company
• San Diego Aircraft Carrier Museum Foundation
• Westbrook Development
• Genstar Development
• Laing Urban
• Pacific Century Development
• Subway, Inc.
• Westfield Shopping Centers
• Shea Properties
• City of San Diego
• City of San Diego Housing Commission
• City of Carlsbad
• City of Poway
• City of Holtville
• Southern California Association of Governments
Richard A. Parker, Ph.D. is Professor Emeritus in the School of Public Affairs at San Diego State University where he teaches graduate and undergraduate courses in statistics, survey research, urban economic development, finance, and real estate. Dr. Parker possesses extensive analytical experience in statistical survey research, market analysis, land use, real estate development and valuation, and transportation issues. He will serve as the co-Project Director.

Dr. Parker has been a consultant to both the public and private sectors for almost 30 years. He has specialized in sample survey research for various governmental agencies and market research for retail, commercial, residential, and recreational development. Particular emphasis has been placed upon market research conducted in the field of transportation, with a myriad of rider/on-board surveys, intercept surveys, telephone surveys, and focus group projects having been performed for several transportation agencies. Dr. Parker has further established his reputation concerning fiscal impact studies, urban redevelopment, and environmental impact/socioeconomic and demographic analyses. Dr. Parker has also been involved on a consultative basis with some issues concerning economic and population growth impacts in Southern California and has published a variety of articles, monographs, and books on these subjects. He has further participated in various panel discussions.
discussions, delivered expert testimony to legislatures and courts, and appeared on several radio and television programs on behalf of his clients.

Dr. Parker is a graduate of Brown University, the University of California at Berkeley, and UCLA. He possesses degrees in Business Administration from those institutions (B.S., MBA, and Ph.D.) plus a further graduate degree in City Planning (MCP) from San Diego State University.

**Louis M. Rea, Ph.D. is Professor Emeritus of City Planning in the School of Public Affairs at San Diego State University.** Dr. Rea teaches graduate courses in statistical analysis, transportation planning, survey research, and urban/fiscal problems. He has published a variety of articles, participated in panel discussions, and delivered numerous papers at professional conferences throughout the United States. He has extensive experience as a researcher and consultant in California and particularly in San Diego and the Los Angeles area for the past 30 years. Dr. Rea will be Co-Project Director for this study.

Dr. Rea has conducted and supervised numerous projects in the areas of transportation research and transit planning, including onboard bus/train surveys, ride checks, intercept surveys, and focus groups. He has also conducted Internet and telephone surveys in numerous consulting and research assignments for municipal jurisdictions and private businesses throughout Southern California. Dr. Rea has prepared environmental impact reports and market analyses for various commercial/recreational developments and has analyzed the feasibility of assessment districts and direct benefit financing. Dr. Rea has also prepared demographic and economic profiles and projections for various projects.

Dr. Rea is a graduate of Colgate University in New York, where he earned a BA. He received a Master of Regional Planning (MRP) and a Ph.D. in Social Science from Syracuse University in Regional Planning.

Short-form resumes are attached.

**Survey Research Consultative Projects**

**Imperial Valley Transit/Imperial County Transportation Commission**

- Year-long sample survey in the process (2019-2020) and count of passengers on-board buses to meet Federal Transportation Agency requirements for a statistically reliable estimate of annual passenger miles traveled.

- Year-long sample survey in the process (2016-2017) and count of passengers on-board buses to meet Federal Transportation Agency requirements for a statistically reliable estimate of annual passenger miles traveled.

- Year-long sample survey (2013-2014) and count of passengers on-board buses to meet Federal Transportation Agency requirements for a statistically reliable estimate of annual passenger miles traveled.
• Year-long sample survey (2010-2011) and count of passengers on-board buses to meet Federal Transportation Agency requirements for a statistically reliable estimate of annual passenger miles traveled.

• Year-long sample survey (2008-2009) and count of passengers on-board buses to meet Federal Transportation Agency requirements for a statistically reliable estimate of annual passenger miles traveled.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority:

On-Board and Telephone Bus Surveys

• On-Board bus survey for MTA, Foothill Transit, and Duarte Transit concerning the expansion of Gold Line—800 respondents

• Conducted the On-Board Bus Survey for Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, including 40,000 rider/on-board surveys, 2,500 follow-up telephone interviews, and 10 focus groups.

  • Final deliverables include/included 4 reports:

  • Satisfaction, travel patterns, fare media, and demographics of 31,000-weekday bus riders on LACMTA buses and those of 12 municipal transit operators within Los Angeles County (Alhambra Community Transit, Culver City Bus Lines, Commerce Transit, Pasadena ARTS, Cerritos-On-Wheels (COW), Santa Monica Big Blue Bus, Los Angeles Commuter Express, Santa Clarita Transit, Torrance Transit, Carson Circuit, El Monte Trolley, and Foothill Transit).

  • Satisfaction, travel patterns, fare media, and demographics of 3,500-weekend bus riders on LACMTA buses.

  • A detailed follow-up telephone survey of 2,500-weekday riders of MTA and 12 municipal operators expanding upon demographics, satisfaction, problem occurrence, importance/concern, travel behavior, use of MTA website, customer service, and marketing media and messages.

  • Geocoded home, origin (production), boarding, alighting, and destination (attraction) data is presented in color graphics by line, service sector, and planning area.

  • Prepared the on-board survey instrument for LACMTA and each of the 12 municipal operators and had its surveyors ride the buses of randomly selected bus runs with follow-up surveys also conducted on-board the following year.
• Prepared and tested the survey instrument in 10 focus group sessions conducted in Los Angeles County and two extensive on-board pretests involving almost 1,000 respondents. Focus groups were conducted in different geographical areas of the County, among different age and ethnic groups, and in both Spanish and English.

• The telephone survey consisted of 39 questions, including 110 individual variables. The mean survey time was 13.09 minutes, administered in both English and Spanish. Media information concerning messages communicated on television was added to more typical transportation-based questions. The analysis consisted of detailed crosstabulations, correlations, and analyses of variance to assess market segmentation strategies.

• On-Board survey of Gold Line riders concerning expansion plans—800 respondents

• Conducted the On-Board Rail Survey for Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, including 15,000 rider/on-board surveys, 1,000 follow-up telephone interviews, and 3 focus groups.

• Final deliverables include/included 4 reports:
  • Satisfaction, travel patterns, fare media, and demographics of 12,000-weekday riders on LACMTA Metro Rail—Blue, Green, and Red Lines.
  • Satisfaction, travel patterns, fare media, and demographics of 3,000-weekend bus riders on LACMTA Metro Rail—Blue, Green, and Red Lines.
  • A detailed follow-up telephone survey of 1,000 weekday and weekend riders of Metro Rail expanding upon demographics, satisfaction, problem occurrence, importance/concern, travel behavior, use of MTA website, customer service, and marketing media and messages.
  • Geocoded home, origin (production), and destination (attraction) data being presented in color graphics by line.

• Prepared the on-board survey instrument for LACMTA and had its surveyors ride the trains on randomly selected runs, with follow-up surveys also conducted on-board the following year.

• Prepared and tested the survey instrument in 3 focus group sessions conducted in Los Angeles County and two extensive on-board pretests involving almost 500 respondents. Focus groups were conducted in different geographical areas of the County, among different age and ethnic groups, and in both Spanish and English.
The telephone survey consisted of 42 questions, including 103 individual variables. The mean survey time was 12.29 minutes, administered in both English and Spanish. Media information concerning messages communicated on television was added to more typical transportation-based questions. The analysis consisted of detailed crosstabulations, correlations, and analyses of variance to assess market segmentation strategies.

- 600-person telephone survey in San Gabriel Valley concerning the expansion of Gold Line and Measure R

**Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA):**

- Intercept survey of 1,950 bus riders in Orange County regarding origin-destination, customer satisfaction, and messaging.

- Prepared, conducted, and analyzed 2,000-person rider/on-board bus survey for the Orange County Transportation Authority regarding monthly, weekly, and daily bus pass sales. A prepared questionnaire administered the survey, analyzed data to assess the potential for expanding bus pass sales.

- Marketing program consultant in OCTA’s effort to attract and retain more riders, particularly from Hispanic and senior citizen groups. Sixteen focus groups were scheduled and twelve conducted (four having been deferred at OCTA’s request to July 2002).

- Conducted the CenterLine Customer Profile for the Orange County Transportation Authority involving intercept surveys of 8,800 potential urban light rail users, 1,500 telephone survey interviews, and 12 focus group/roundtables. Final analysis assessed likely ridership, preferred destinations, trip purposes, public support, demographic and psychographic profiles of potential light rail ridership

- Prepared Multi-Cultural Market Assessment Study for transportation services in Orange County. Formulated baseline data and marketing strategies for long- and short-term transportation-related issues facing Orange County's diverse multicultural communities, with particular emphasis upon Hispanic and Vietnamese communities. Administered three different statistical surveys including intercept and rider/on-board formats, each in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese.

- Prepared, conducted, and analyzed Vietnamese ridership study, including in-person intercept survey, telephone sample survey, and focus group among Vietnamese community leaders regarding current bus service and future transit needs in the Vietnamese areas of Orange County.

- 2007--Analyzed 2,000 person ACCESS (disabled paratransit) customer service satisfaction survey.
• 2007—conducted and analyzed counts of passengers on Amtrak and Metrolink trains at every Orange County station plus Oceanside, Norwalk, and Commerce.

• 2008—Focus groups (4) concerning integration and improvements to service (Metrolink, Amtrak, Coaster) along with Los Angeles-San Diego (LOSAN South) corridor

• 2008/2009—Rail safety study including observations at each of 54 at-grade rail crossings in Orange County, interviews with 60 local decision-makers and other community leaders, and 600 person residential telephonic survey.

• Conducted focus groups among senior/disabled bus riders and full fare bus riders concerning the proposed restructuring of bus fares. Also conducted roundtable meetings with coach operators and social service agency representatives.

• Conducted public participation portion of the Orange County Bus Improvement Project (BUSLINK). Prepared reports based upon focus group discussions with bus users and non-users, employee transportation coordinators, real estate developers, senior citizens, students, transportation advocates, Orange County employers, and members of ethnic minority communities throughout the County. The final report summarized the perceptions of focus group participants concerning potential improvements to the bus system.

• Conducted focus group discussions with Metrolink commuter rail users and non-users within Orange County for purposes of identifying service and marketing issues and opportunities. A prepared final report summarizing findings from these focus group discussions.

• Conducted focus group discussions with clients of ACCESS paratransit service for purposes of identifying the viability of alternative transportation options.

• Conducted focus groups among users of ACCESS for purposes of refining six strategies for providing a financially viable service to ACCESS customers and prepared a formal final report.

• Conducted a series of roundtable discussions concerning the implementation of changes in the ACCESS system pertaining to reservations, eligibility, schedule, rates, pick-up, and delivery policy, etc., and prepared a formal final report.

• Conducted focus group discussions concerning the Master Plan of Countywide Commuter Bikeways and prepared a final report.

• Prepared Multi-Cultural Market Assessment update, including focus groups within the Hispanic community concerning the marketing of transportation services. Evaluated the success of programs commenced following the initial Multi-Cultural Market Assessment.

• Conducted focus group and roundtable discussions with community leaders, the general public, and representatives of goods movement/freight industry regarding long-range transportation planning in Orange County.
• Conducted focus groups among businesses and residents of Orange County concerning recommended Corridor (Fullerton-Irvine) Transportation Strategy.

• Conducted focus groups among residents of northern, central, and southern Orange County regarding the FastForward long-range transportation.

• Conducted roundtables and focus groups concerning routing issues and public support for the CenterLine urban light rail system proposed for Orange County.

• Conducted focus groups among public outreach firms to determine opinions concerning consulting for OCTA—contract administration, pricing, clarity of tasks, and so forth.’

State of California (Senate Rules Committee, Senate Select Committee on Border Issues, and Office of the Auditor General)

• Analysis of the fiscal impact of undocumented immigrants on public services in San Diego County and California, including the criminal justice system, education, and public health. Further identified the estimated number of undocumented residents and their contributions to State and local tax revenues. Also included in the analysis were federal revenues, false documentation issues, and macro-economic impacts. The study included substantial primary data gathering techniques, including direct interviews with undocumented immigrant workers and INS returnees. Prepared, administered, and analyzed sample surveys of undocumented immigrants in San Diego County for purposes of determining employment characteristics, revenue generation, demographics, and migration patterns. The research included extensive face-to-face interviews and structured roundtable discussions.

San Diego County Water Authority Public Opinion Surveys


• Prepared, implemented, and analyzed a survey of General Managers and Department Heads of 23 member agencies about satisfaction, effectiveness, the usefulness of services and programs provided by Water Authority in 2003 and 2005

• Prepared, implemented, and analyzed employee satisfaction survey of the Engineering Department.

• Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 400 respondent survey among San Diego County contractors regarding project labor agreements and public works contracting.
2008—two 600 person telephone surveys tracking before and after impacts of a public awareness campaign concerning water conservation.

City of Oceanside

- Prepared implemented, and analyzed a telephonic survey of 803 residents of Northern San Diego County concerning awareness, behavior, and opinions about water runoff pollution.

San Diego County

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 1,305 person telephonic survey of unincorporated area residents concerning awareness, behavior, and opinions about water runoff pollution.

- Conducted, analyzed, and reported upon two 600-person telephonic public opinion and awareness surveys before and after public awareness campaign to inform county residents about emergency preparedness.

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 8 intercept surveys of law library users concerning classes offered, benefits, opportunities for improvement.

- Evaluated the San Diego Community Planning Process as viewed by planning group participants and informed parties (developed questionnaire, analyzed data, and prepared a final report).

City of Coronado

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 600 respondent in-person intercept surveys of visitors to identify places of staying, recreational activities, modes of transportation.

City of Carlsbad

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed citywide sample telephone survey of 600 respondents concerning future urban commercial development in this fast-growing Northern San Diego County city. Extensive analysis of underlying values through various development scenarios and conjoint analytical techniques was featured.

City of San Diego

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 400 person telephone survey of residents of three low-income San Diego communities concerning issues such as crime, economic development, city services, and the success of the City's "Weed and Seed Program."
• Prepared, administered, and analyzed sample survey of San Diego County residents for purposes of assessing utilization and demand factors for Mission Bay Park in San Diego. This survey of 850 persons served to inform the revision of the Park’s Master Plan.

• Analysis of the market for existing condominium developments in downtown San Diego. The detailed analysis included an extensive survey and corresponding statistical and qualitative analysis including recommendations for future market composition.

• Consultant for the revitalization of an older commercial retail area in mid-city San Diego seeking to rejuvenate its retail base. Three surveys and a series of key participant discussions were performed in conjunction with this effort—existing retailers, potential retailers, and residents of the area—plus corresponding statistical and qualitative analysis. The final report included a recommended retail structure for the community that would be realistic, implementable, and sensitive to the diversity of ethnicity in this community.

• Prepared, conducted, and analyzed 600 person telephone survey in mid-city for purposes of establishing the need for a community court in the area populated by myriad low-income minority and immigrant groups. Also in-person interviews/surveys of 100 local business owners.

• Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 400 person surveys of City residents concerning water supply awareness, conservation attitudes and behaviors, and opinions about water recycling—2004, 2011m, and 2012.

**County of Orange**

• Prepared, implemented, and analyzed 1,040 person telephone survey of the unincorporated area (North Tustin) to assess the level of service satisfaction issues and potential annexation/sphere of influence adjustments

**County of San Diego**

• Prepared, implemented and analyzed two 600 person surveys of County residents concerning emergency preparedness before and after the public information campaign

**Otay Water District**

• 2020 Employee Satisfaction Survey--online

• 2015 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction Survey (n = 400)

• 2013 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction Survey (n = 350)

• 2012 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction Survey (n = 550)

• 2012 Call Center Customer Service Survey (n = 300)
• 2011 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction Survey (n = 300)
• 2010 Desalination Survey—400 respondents and 2 focus groups
• 2010 Employee Satisfaction online survey
• 2009 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction Survey of 300 customers of the District
• 2009 Large Users Drought Telephone Survey
• 2008 Customer Service telephone survey of 300 participants prepared, conducted, and analyzed.
• 2008 Customer Awareness and Satisfaction telephone survey of 300 participants prepared, conducted, and analyzed
• 2008 Employee Satisfaction survey prepared conducted and analyzed using a web-based instrument.
• 2007 residential customer satisfaction/awareness (n=300) telephone survey prepared, implemented, and analyzed. Emphasis on customer satisfaction and conservation measures.
• 2007 Call Center customer service telephone survey (n = 200) focused upon satisfaction with customer service and communications.
• 2005 customer satisfaction survey of 350 residential customers concerning behavior, opinion, customer service, desalination, water reclamation, and conservation practices.

**Imperial Irrigation District**

• Instruction to energy traders in the use of mathematical and statistical tools to aid in their requisite analyses.
• Developed statistical models that predict energy consumption based upon various climatic conditions to within 1-3% of actual.

**San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority**

• Prepared and supervised administration of detailed census and opinion survey of 2,500 members of five Indian tribes. Particular emphasis was given to issues of importance to the tribes' members such as job opportunities, education, cultural issues, economic development opportunities, and transportation access issues. Focus group sessions with each tribe were utilized to complement the survey findings. The final report included both census data and a fully tabulated and statistically analyzed summary of the opinions of reservation residents.
City of Poway, California

- Prepared, administered, and statistically analyzed a mail survey of 800 Poway businesses regarding their needs and opinions concerning Poway’s business climate and future opportunities. The final report included detailed analysis and exposition, including recommendations where appropriate.

- Prepared, administered, and statistically analyzed a mail survey of 6,000 Poway households concerning their opinions regarding a variety of issues of importance to the City for future planning. The final report included fully tabulated results with accompanying statistical reports.

North Park Main Street Association

- Conducted two intercept surveys--business owners and shoppers in San Diego Main Street National Historic Preservation Area to determine shopping needs and level of improvement or decline in the area since the implementation of the Main Street program.

City of Dana Point, California

- Prepared recreation and parks needs assessment survey for administration to the general public.

City of Davis

- Prepared, administered, and analyzed sample survey of 833 residents of Davis, California for purposes of assessing utilization, demand, and tax allocation factors for City of Davis Department of Parks and Recreation in concert with the preparation of the Master Plan.

City of St. Helena

- Prepared, administered, and analyzed 400 respondent sample survey of the City of St. Helena, California residents for purposes of General Plan revisions.

Santa Clarita Transit

- Three focus groups among Latinos, commuters, and local bus riders to identify service and marketing-related issues and policies for rider attraction and retention.

Southern California Regional Rail Authority (Metrolink)

- Determined the requisite two-stage sample for Federal Transportation Agency requirements for annual passenger and mileage calculations.
• Conducted a 3,500 person rider/on-board sample survey on 7 Metrolink lines regarding customer satisfaction, importance, problem occurrences, travel behavior, marketing strategies, and demographics. Also undertaken were precise counts of passenger boardings and alightings at each station for each train in the Metrolink train system.

• Conducted a 6,000 person rider/on-board sample survey on 6 Metrolink lines regarding customer satisfaction, importance, problem occurrences, travel behavior, marketing strategies, and demographics.

• Conducted focus groups with student riders and potential riders of Metrolink.

• Conducted Riverside County rider focus groups for Metrolink regarding the use of a new stop and need for reverse commute trains.

California Department of Transportation

• Prepared growth inducement study for State Route 56 through the northern portion of the City of San Diego. The study included fiscal impacts as well as housing, employment, and income forecasts; also included were planning implications of possible growth inducing factors associated with the construction of the highway.

• Conducted focus groups and a web-based survey of CALTRANS engineers regarding job satisfaction and staff morale.

• Eight surveys among pilot study riders of combined commuter train/rental car system of commuting.

San Diego County Sheriff’s Department

• Established analysis by the station (11) of workload and availability for calls for service among the Sheriff’s patrol deputies. Over 3,000 samples drawn and analyzed to establish manpower needs.

• Utilized scientific sample to analyzed time savings applicable to the conversion of arrest data to laptop computers from manual entry. The final report included specific determination of manpower hours saved and recommendations for software and operational policy changes to maximize technological advantages.

• Workload analysis based upon a sample of time logs from Sheriffs Communication Center and Monte Carlo simulations to identify necessary staffing levels for 9-1-1, radio, and administrative communications throughout San Diego County.

San Diego Unified School District

• Survey of building contractors concerning economic impacts of Project Stabilization Agreement with local trade unions regarding school construction projects.
Poway Unified School District

- A telephone survey of 400 district residents pertaining to satisfaction with the existing bond program and potential for future borrowing.

Bay Area Rapid Transit

- Market analysis and ridership projection for two stations located on the East Bay line of BART.

Southern California Association of Governments

- 800 person survey and 6 focus groups to determine the desired route for high-speed rail from Northern California between Los Angeles and San Diego.
- 5200 person survey of pedestrian, passenger vehicle, and commercial truck border crossers at Calexico and Algodones/Yuma pertaining to trip purpose, frequency, origin/destination, and other factors.

Riverside Transit Agency

- On-board survey of 8000 riders of Riverside bus system regarding satisfaction, frequency, demographics
- On-board survey and count of RTA bus lines that intersect with Downtown Riverside Transit Terminal to identify magnitude and nature of travel disruptions that will occur when the terminal is relocated
- On-Board survey of commuter bus lines
- Intercept of 1000 passengers transferring and/or ending the trip at Downtown

San Diego Metropolitan Transit System

- Survey of passengers at trolley stations
- On-board counts of weekend contract service
- Conducted focus groups concerning routing/scheduling and planning/marketing issues for the expansion of the San Diego Trolley.
- Intercept surveys of 500 passengers at two rail stations concerning moving transit center.
San Diego Association of Governments

- Focus groups concerning carpool, vanpool, transit alternative commute options.
- Survey of 400 San Diego County businesses (plus focus groups) regarding telework policies, opportunities, and challenges.
- 400-person intercept survey at International Border concerning parking costs, availability, and other issues.

University of California PATH/SANDAG

- Six Month panel for focus groups and surveys at start and end concerning new transit plan that utilizes rental cars at either end of the transit trip.

University of California, Davis

- Web-based survey of all identifiable San Francisco Bay Area water management professionals concerning water conservation policies and practices, including follow-up telephone interviews.

City of Escondido

- Prepared, administered, and statistically analyzed telephone survey of 425 residents concerning library facilities.

California Center for Sustainable Energy

- Focus groups concerning the use of solar energy for residential power users –both contractors and residents participated.
- Focus groups among homeowners with photovoltaic systems, real estate professionals, and city/county permitting and inspection officials concerning barriers to and solutions pertaining to photovoltaic system installation and ownership.

North County Transit District

- Online survey of commuters and residents of Camp Pendleton regarding transportation needs.

Fresno Area Express

- 2015 On-board bus survey (n =3,700) regarding origins, destinations, modes of access and egress, demographics
• 2019 Intercept bus stop and on-board survey re: rider satisfaction (n = 1,803)
• 2019 Telephone satisfaction survey of para-transit customers (n = 306)
• 2015 Intercept bus stop and on-board survey re: rider satisfaction (n = 1,500)
• 2015 Telephone satisfaction survey of para-transit customers (n = 400)

Fresno Council of Governments

• 2020 telephone and online survey of Fresno County residents concerning their land use and transportation priorities (n = 950)

Vallecitos Water District

• Telephone survey (n=300) regarding conservation, awareness of district activities and communications

Economic, Financial, and Fiscal Impact Analyses

State of California (Senate Rules Committee, Senate Select Committee on Border Issues, and Office of the Auditor General)

• Analysis of the fiscal impact of undocumented immigrants on public services in San Diego County, including the criminal justice system, education, and public health. Further identified an estimated number of undocumented residents and their contributions to State and local tax revenues. Included in the analysis were federal revenues, false documentation issues, and macro-economic impacts. The study included substantial primary data gathering techniques, including direct interviews with undocumented immigrant workers and INS returnees for purposes of determining employment characteristics, revenue generation, demographics, and migration patterns.

California Department of Transportation

• Prepared growth inducement study for State Route 56 through the northern portion of the City of San Diego. The study included fiscal impacts as well as housing, employment, and income forecasts; also included were planning implications of possible growth inducing factors associated with the construction of the highway.
San Diego County Taxpayers' Association

- Prepared economic and financial analysis of the San Diego County Multiple Species Conservation Program for presentation to Planning Commission, City Council, and Board of Supervisors, including the imposition of the fee required to assemble the land.

- Prepared analysis of the economic effects of the proposed San Diego development impact fee program. The study included both academic, theoretical analysis and practical fiscal impact considerations.

- Written analysis and critique of Planned Growth and Taxpayer Relief Initiative – Development Impact Fee proposal for consideration before the San Diego City Council.

- Fiscal Impact Analysis of Olivenhein Dam project of San Diego County Water Authority

- Prepared general fiscal impact analysis of mobile home rent control ordinances in the State of California.

Westfield Shopping Centers

- Analysis of the proposed City of San Diego Affordable Housing Impact Fee, including recalculation and allocation among land, uses

- Analysis of potential sales and fiscal impact due to the expansion of University Town Center, La Jolla, CA

San Diego Aircraft Carrier Museum Foundation

- Prepared fiscal impact analysis regarding the establishment of the USS Midway aircraft carrier museum on San Diego Bay.

Pardee Construction Company

- Prepared Economic Impact Analysis of the proposed all-cargo airport at Brown Field, including job creation and related industrial/commercial/visitor development

- Prepared Economic/Fiscal Impact Analysis of 2,650 acre “high-end” Pacific Highlands Ranch residential development in the Carmel Valley area of San Diego. Included determination of impact fees.
Westbrook Development

- Economic/Fiscal Impact Analysis of 2,550 acres residential/commercial/resort development at Fanita Ranch in Santee. Included determination of impact fees for the development and alternative use as open space.

Genstar Development

- Economic/Fiscal Impact Analysis of 3,000 acre 4S Ranch residential/commercial development in San Diego County. Included determination of development impact fees.

San Diego Gas & Electric

- Analysis of feasibility and fiscal impact of City of San Marcos proposal to form and operationalize its municipal utility—Discovery Valley Utility
- Economic analysis of Sunrise PowerLink to Imperial County
- Analysis of the fiscal impact of Carlsbad agricultural protection measures proposed on the 2006 ballot
- Development of economic impact and fiscal model applicable to Sunrise PowerLink, smart meters, ECO substation, South Bay substation, Dynamic pricing, and renewable energy development, South Orange County Reliability Project, among others). Model recalibrated and used continuously for new projects.
- Economic impact analysis for L-3602 pipeline project

Southern California Gas Company

- Development of economic impact and fiscal model applicable to System Reliability Project and Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan affecting 12 counties in California. Model recalibrated and used continuously for new projects.
- Economic impact analysis for the North-South pipeline project in Riverside, San Bernardino, Orange, and Los Angeles Counties.
- Economic impact analysis for System Reliability Project.

San Diego Unified School District

- Economic analysis of bidding, construction costs, delays, labor compliance, and others pertaining to construction projects for the San Diego Unified School District.
Southwest Strategies, LLC

- Fiscal Impact Analysis for potential rezoning of industrial land to residential in Oceanside, California—determined and compared impact fees for industrial and residential use, including transportation impact fees.
- Analysis of the proposed City of San Diego Affordable Housing Impact Fee, including recalculation and allocation among land, uses.
- Socio-economic profile of residents of 5 affordable housing developments in the City of Poway, including demographics, spending, impacts on crime, schools, property values
- Examination of financial implications of rezoning Old Town National City to remove non-conforming industrial uses
- Economic and fiscal impact of the expansion of Costa Verde Shopping Center, La Jolla, CA

Shea Properties

- The fiscal impact of the proposed redevelopment of Barrio Logan with Mercado and affordable housing in San Diego.
- Fiscal impact of second project planned for Barrio Logan
- The fiscal and economic impact of shopping center/hotel development in Cypress, CA

Pacifica Companies

- The fiscal and economic impact of the oceanfront resort in San Diego County
- The fiscal and economic impact of converting Oxnard, CA suites hotel into senior living accommodations

One World Beef

- Fiscal, economic, and community impact consultant for acquisition and rehabilitation of large meatpacking facility to obtain New Market Tax Credits.

Laing Urban

- Fiscal Impact Analysis for potential rezoning of industrial land to residential in Culver City, California--determined and compared impact fees for industrial and residential use, including transportation impact fees
San Diego-Imperial Counties Labor Council AFL-CIO

- Analysis of San Diego County Water Authority-Imperial Irrigation District water transfer in terms of environmental and economic obstacles faced and economic development opportunities to be derived from funds to be available within the Imperial Valley.

WESTEC Services, Inc.

- Prepared socioeconomic present condition, impact, and mitigation sections of Environmental Impact Report for California State Prison and San Diego County jail and honor camp at Otay Mesa. Also prepared project description and statement of needs chapter, crime rate, and socioeconomic hazards sections.

Mooney & Associates

- Prepared socioeconomic present condition, impact, and mitigation sections of economic impact report for expansion of San Diego County jail in Santee. Also prepared project description and statement of needs chapter, crime rate, and socioeconomic hazards sections.

Joint Labor-Management Committee of the Retail Food Industry

- Analysis of impacts of large “big box” retailers upon existing merchants. Particular emphasis on downtown impacts and planning consequences in light of movement toward smart growth. San Diego’s City of Villages strategy was assessed in this regard.

- Economic Impact of supercenter retail development upon California jobs, health and welfare expenditures, and general economic conditions.

City of San Diego

- Determined shopping needs and level of improvement or decline in the North Park community since the implementation of the Main Street Historic Preservation Program.

- Analysis of the market for existing condominium developments in downtown San Diego. Detailed analysis included an extensive survey and corresponding statistical and qualitative analysis including recommendations for future market composition.

- Consultant for the revitalization of an older commercial retail area in mid-city San Diego seeking to rejuvenate its retail base. The final report included a recommended retail structure for the community that would be realistic, implementable, and sensitive to the diversity of ethnicity in this community.
La Jolla Band of Mission Indians

- Marketing and management consultants for Sengme Oaks Water Park, a water theme amusement park in Northern San Diego County. Prepared formal marketing and management plans for the Park.

Merrill Lynch Commercial Real Estate

- Provided a variety of on-going property valuation and real estate consultative services including improved and unimproved real property appraisals and land use feasibility planning for large acreage.

City of Carlsbad

- Analyzed future urban commercial development in fast-growing Northern San Diego County city.

Border Communities Credit Corp/Chelsea Investments, Inc.

- 2015 analysis of job creation for three development proposals: Children’s Village in San Diego, child care facilities and four-story offices in San Diego, and residential/commercial development in San Luis Rio Colorado, AZ.

- 2016 analysis of job creation for 6 development proposals—San Diego, CA, Chula Vista, CA Brawley, CA, Imperial, CA, Yuma, AZ, El Paso, TX

- 2017 analysis of job creation for 4 development proposals—San Diego, CA (2), Huron, CA, and Las Cruces, New Mexico

- 2018 analysis of job creation for 3 development proposals—Los Angeles, CA, Palm Springs, CA and Fresno, CA

- 2019 analysis of job creation for 5 development proposals—San Diego, CA, Palm Desert, CA, Madera, CA, Bakersfield, CA, Blythe, CA

Solaris Community Capital/Three Rivers

- 2018 analysis of job creation for 9 potential development proposals in Mississippi

- 2019 analysis of job creation for projects in Mississippi, Alabama, and Tennessee

Stirling Enterprises
- Fiscal Impact Analysis of the proposal to rezone a parcel of industrial land into multiple residential in Oceanside, California--determined and compared impact fees for industrial and residential use, including transportation impact fees

- Projected Jobs-Housing equilibrium point for City of Oceanside

**Viejas Enterprises**

- Socio-economic analysis of the proposal to locate Indian casino in City of Calexico, California

- Socio-economic/fiscal impact analysis of tribal casino in Alpine, California

**Foxwoods/Pauma Development**

- Multiplier, Housing Needs, Employment, Crime, Pathological Gambling analyses for casino development in northern San Diego County

**Big Pine Paiute Economic Development Corporation**

- Economic/Fiscal impact analysis of proposed Indian Travel Plaza/Casino on US 395 near Big Pine and Bishop, California.

**County of Orange**

- Prepared, implemented, and analyzed the study of unincorporated area (North Tustin) to assess the level of service satisfaction issues and potential annexation/sphere of influence and fee adjustments.

**San Diego Lifeguard Services**

- Analysis of costs and efficiency measures pertaining to the City of San Diego proposal to supplement lifeguards with Fire/Rescue services

**Housing Solutions**

- Socio-economic profile of residents of 5 affordable housing developments in the City of Poway, including demographics, spending, impacts on crime, schools, property values

**National City Smart Growth Coalition**

- Planning and economic consultant to business owners in National City Westside regarding a new land-use plan for the community.

**City of Davis**
• Prepared, administered, and analyzed the study of Davis, California for purposes of assessing utilization, demand, and tax allocation factors for City of Davis Department of Parks and Recreation in concert with the preparation of the Master Plan.

Subway, Inc. and Pacific Century Development

• Analysis of two sites in Blythe, CA to determine market absorption potential for new hotel/motel developments.

City of Holtville

• Economic consultant to City in process of dissolving Joint Powers Authority to secure a fair share of proceeds.

San Diego Housing Commission

• In accordance with the City of San Diego SRO Preservation Ordinance, an inventory of all existing guest rooms in the City of San Diego was performed to retroactively identify rooms that qualified as single-room-occupancy hotel rooms as of December 1985, December 1987 and May 1988. The purpose of this survey was to identify a baseline number of such units for presentation purposes. Prepared SRO identification methodologies, computerization formats, statistical analyses, and final report with room-by-room breakdown.

Rancho Guejito Corporation

• Fiscal impact and economic analysis of proposed Multiple Species Conservation Program—North County.

• Fiscal impact and economic analysis of the proposed General Plan Update for San Diego County

• Analysis of impact of San Diego County General Plan upon transportation, education, and public safety services in rural San Diego County.

Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS)

• Costs and benefits to consumers and taxpayers of the electronic registration system for mortgages throughout the United States. MERS is a service owned by Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae, Bank of America, Chase, Wells Fargo, and all other major banks in the U.S.

Ivey Ranch Development Company

• Fiscal and economic impact analysis of three development alternatives for the 10-acre site in Oceanside California
Shopoff Group

- Fiscal and economic impact analysis of two development alternatives for a 4.5-acre site in Oceanside, California
- Fiscal and jobs impact for proposed residential development in Vista, California
- Fiscal analysis of 70 unit condominium project in San Diego County.

Accretive Investments

- Fiscal Impact analysis of 600 acres, 1,800 housing units, and retail development in the unincorporated area of northern San Diego County.

Cox Communications

- Fiscal and economic impact analysis of Cox Communications California operations.

Regency Shopping Centers

- Fiscal and economic impact analysis of revitalization of Costa Verde Shopping Center, La Jolla, California

Clinicas de Salud del Pueblo

- Community impact analysis for the medical center in El Centro, California

San Diego Surf Cup Sports

- Fiscal and economic impact analysis of one of the nation’s largest youth soccer clubs that draws more than 200,000 participants annually.

Save Starlight Bowl

- Fiscal and economic analysis of effort to revitalize historic San Diego outdoor amphitheater
Expert Witness: Survey Research and Statistical Analysis

Seyfarth Shaw, LLP

- Analyzed and testified as an expert witness
  - Ikon Office Solutions regarding overtime and meal break survey
  - Orange County Register regarding the adequacy of statistical survey sampling of and statistical conclusions drawn from employees
  - Kaiser Permanente (3 cases) – pharmacy managers and all employees overtime
  - Bank of America—employee overtime
  - Safeway, Inc. — customer service
  - Costco Wholesale—customer service

Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP

- Expert witness regarding statistical surveys and labor-hour issues in class action litigation (Bank of America).
- Expert Witness regarding employee claims for clothing expense reimbursement (Wet Seal)
- Expert witness: Loan Depot

Higgs, Fletcher & Mack, LLP

- Analyzed and testified (deposition) as an expert witness regarding the statistical survey concerning appraisal of commercial real estate subject to condemnation.

Paul, Plevin, Sullivan & Connaughton, LLP

- Contracted as an expert witness regarding the adequacy of statistical survey sampling of and statistical conclusions drawn from employees—2 cases (Kelly Services, Inc. – declaration – and one other settled before any declaration being filed that cannot, therefore, be disclosed)

Akins, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld

- Contracted as an expert witness regarding the adequacy of statistical survey sampling and conclusions drawn from employees in a labor-hour class action (CVS Pharmacy, Inc.).
Richard A. Parker, Ph.D.

**EDUCATION**

- **Ph.D.** University of California, Los Angeles (Los Angeles, California)
  - Pacific Western University (Los Angeles, California)
  - Doctor of Philosophy (Business Administration)
- **M.B.A.** University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley, California)
  - Master of Business Administration
- **M.C.P.** San Diego State University (San Diego, California)
  - Master of City Planning
- **B.S.** Brown University (Providence, Rhode Island)
  - University of California, Berkeley (Berkeley, California)
  - Bachelor of Science — Business Administration
  (Phi Beta Kappa, Magna Cum Laude, Dean’s List)

**Selected Professional Experience**

**1985-present**  **Professor (Emeritus since 2013), School of Public Affairs, San Diego State University**

Courses taught: Seminar in Urban Planning Methodologies (upper division undergraduate and graduate), Financing Urban Development (graduate), Quantitative Methods (Statistics) (graduate), Seminar in Quantitative Approaches to Public Administration (graduate), Quantitative Techniques in Urban Planning (graduate), Contemporary Urban Issues (upper division undergraduate), Public Finance (graduate and upper division undergraduate), Seminar in Economics of Urban and Regional Planning (graduate), Public Policy (upper division undergraduate and graduate)

**1984-present**  **President, Rea & Parker Research/California Economic Solutions**

President/Project Director of survey and market research and economic consulting firm based in San Diego, California, with facilities in Los Angeles and Orange County. Extensive experience in public and urban affairs regarding the collection of primary demographic, attitudinal, and market-related data through survey research and focus group analysis. Highly regarded economic consultant, particularly in the areas of fiscal impact analysis, urban economic development, and site specific commercial, retail, and residential evaluation.

**Selected Survey Research Clients include State of California, San Diego County, Orange County, Imperial County, Cities of San Diego, Escondido, Carlsbad, Oceanside, Poway, Davis, Holtville, and Dana Point, SANDAG, SCAG, San Diego MTS, Orange County Transportation Authority, Los Angeles County MTA, Southern California Regional Rail Authority (Metrolink), Fresno Area Express, Bay Area Rapid Transit, Imperial County Transportation Commission, CALTRANS, San Diego County Water Authority, Otay Water District, Vallecitos Water District, San Diego Housing Commission, California Center for Sustainable Energy.**

Expert witness regarding survey research and statistical analysis for Bank of America, Kaiser Permanente, NASSCO/General Dynamics, Ikon Office Equipment, Orange County Register/ Freedom Communications, CVS Pharmacies, Kelly Services, Loan Depot.


**Selected Publications/Awards:**

- *The Economics of Environmental Restrictions on the Use of Urban Land*, Institute of Urban and Regional Development, University of California, Berkeley, California (with Leonard Merewitz, Ph.D.).
- "The Retail Commercial Strip in Transition: A Case Study in San Diego," *The Western Governmental Researcher*
- 50 Most Distinguished Alumni—San Diego State University School of Public Affairs 50th Anniversary
- Bernays Mark of Merit—Special Purpose Communications, 2008
Louis M. Rea, Ph.D.

EDUCATION

Ph.D. Economics, Public Management (Social Science), The Maxwell School, Syracuse University, 1975
M.R.P. Master of Regional Planning, The Maxwell School, Syracuse University, 1973
B.A. Economics, Colgate University, Hamilton, New York 1971
(Phi Beta Kappa, Cum Laude, Honors in Economics)

PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYMENT

Professor: School of Public Affairs, San Diego State University, 1975-present (Emeritus since 2012)

Director: School of Public Administration and Urban Studies, 1983-2006.
Responsible for the management and direction of the School of Public Administration and Urban Studies, consisting of 40 employees, including 30 full time and part time faculty, and 10 support and research staff; responsible for managing the School’s resources valued at approximately $4.0 million, consisting of funds in the areas of personnel, supplies and services, computer hardware, scholarships, endowments, and SDSU Foundation accounts; provide supervision of all personnel matters, including recruitment and hiring of full and part-time faculty as well as staff positions; oversee reappointment, tenure, and promotion process; schedule classes; prepare and implement school’s academic strategic plan, business plan, student learning goals and objectives, and faculty hiring practices; responsible for fund raising and development.

Principal Consultant: Rea and Parker Research, 1984-present
Provide professional consulting services to client agencies (both public and private) seeking research data and studies for executive decision making. Provide services in the areas of transportation research including on-board bus and rail surveys, survey research associated with customer satisfaction and market feasibility analysis, economic and fiscal impact analysis, program evaluation, and public policy analysis including land use, housing, immigration, and tourism.

Selected Transportation Survey Research Clients include North County Transit District, Southern California Regional Rail Authority (Metrolink), Coaster, State of California Department of Transportation, SANDAG, San Diego MTS, Orange County Transportation Authority, Los Angeles County MTA, Riverside Transit Agency, Fresno Area Express, Imperial County Transportation Commission, Los Angeles Department of Transportation, Santa Clarita Transit, Foothill Transit, Torrance Transit, Santa Monica Big Blue Bus, Culver Citybus, Cerritos on Wheels, Commerce Transit, El Monte Transit, Alhambra Community Transit, Duarte Transit, Pasadena ARTS, Carson Circuit, Montebello Bus Lines.

Visiting Professor: University of California, San Diego, Graduate School of International Relations and Pacific Studies, 2006-present.
Teach a required graduate course in program design and evaluation to students pursuing a Master’s Degree in International Relations.

PUBLICATION


Imperial County Transportation Commission/ Imperial Valley Transit July 2020