Imperial County Transportation Commission & First Transit, INC.

Imperial Valley Transit Service Report

For the Year Ended June 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT	<u>Page</u> 3 - 4
ACCOUNTANTS' COMMENTS	5
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Exhibit A – Internal Controls over Cash Handling	
Procedures	6
Exhibit B – Statement of Fixed Rate, Farebox	
Revenue and Net Subsidy – El Centro Shuttle – Blue Line	7
Exhibit C – Statement of Fixed Rate, Farebox	
Revenue and Net Subsidy – El Centro Shuttle- Green Line	8
Exhibit D – Statement of Fixed Rate, Farebox	
Revenue and Net Subsidy – El Centro Shuttle- Combined	9
Exhibit E – Statement of Fixed Rate, Farebox	
Revenue and Net Subsidy – Imperial Valley Transit	10
Exhibit F – Statement of Fixed Rate, Farebox	
Revenue and Net Subsidy – All Services Combined	11

3205 South Dogwood Avenue El Centro, CA 92243 t 760.352.1021 f 760.352.3325 www.hbllp.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

Imperial County Transportation Commission 1405 N. Imperial Avenue Suite 1 El Centro, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Imperial County Transportation Commission's Management, solely to assist the specified party in evaluating the specific First Transit, Inc. transit services contract items for the year ended June 30, 2013. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

- 1. We reviewed the transit service contract, including contract modifications, between the Imperial County Transportation Commission and First Transit, Inc. for the provisions of specific transit services to be provided.
- 2. We reviewed documents provided by the Imperial County Transportation Commission and First Transit, Inc. to verify that expenditure and fare amounts reported to the Imperial County Transportation Commission Administration for the specific transit services were presented fairly and accurately. We found that First Transit, Inc. is in compliance with the contract terms and reporting properly as agreed per contract(s). See Exhibits B through F as references for this review.
- 3. We reviewed on a test basis fuel expenditures reported for all specific transit services provided and noted if these expenditures were in accordance to the fuel related terms included in the service contract. We found that First Transit, Inc. is in compliance with the contract terms and these expenditures are within budget and reported accordingly.
- 4. We reviewed First Transit's internal controls over cash handling procedures and tested their fare collection process and related activities. We found that the internal controls set by First Transit, Inc. are adequate based on established guidelines. See Exhibit A as reference for this review.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accounting records. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management, the Imperial County Transportation Commission, the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hutchinson and Bloodgood LLP

April 15, 2014

IMPERIAL COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND FIRST TRANSIT, INC. IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM

FOR YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

ACCOUNTANTS' COMMENTS

PURPOSE

The purpose of this engagement pertaining to the service contract between the Imperial County Transportation Commission (ICTC) and First Transit, Inc. was to provide an independent assessment of compliance with certain contract requirements during the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 and to assess the adequacy of First Transit Inc.'s internal controls over cash handling and reporting procedures.

FARE REVENUES

The service contract for each of the services provided by First Transit, Inc. states that the farebox ratio of fare revenue divided by operating costs shall be maintained at or above seventeen percent (17.0%) for the Imperial Valley Transit service line and four percent (4.0%) for the El Centro Shuttle (Blue and Green Line).

For the El Centro Shuttle (Blue and Green Line) the actual farebox recovery ratio was over three percent (3.3%); (\$20,252 fare revenue divided by \$620,169 operating costs). See Exhibit D.

For the Imperial Valley Transit service line the actual farebox recovery ratio was over nineteen percent (19.6%); (\$486,122 fare revenue divided by \$2,482,570 adjusted operating costs). See Exhibit E.

The letter from the California Department of Transportation dated March 4, 2010 states that the suggested blended farebox recovery ratio calculation for the transit services above was approved and the blended farebox recovery ratio was set at fourteen and a half percent (14.5%).

For fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 the actual blended farebox ratio for all transit services combined was of over sixteen percent (16.3%); (\$506,374 fare revenue divided by \$3,102,739 adjusted operating costs). See Exhibit F.

ICTC SUBSIDY

The contract 2nd modification, dated February 27, 2013, states that the compensation for the Imperial Valley Transit Line for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 is identified as \$2,737,061 less the farebox of 17% for a net subsidy not to exceed \$2,271,761, with a marketing budget of \$136,716. Actual costs for the year reported to ICTC were \$2,145,259 in operational expense, \$46,293 in additional fuel expenses, and \$54,771 in marketing expenses for a combined total of \$2,246,323. See Exhibit E.

For the El Centro Shuttle service line, which includes the Blue and Green Lines, the compensation is identified as \$630,080 less the farebox of 4.0% for a net subsidy not to exceed \$604,877, with a marketing budget of \$31,504. Actual costs for the year reported to ICTC were \$596,271 in operational expense, \$1,519 in additional fuel expenses, and \$2,127 in marketing expenses for a combined total of \$599,917. See Exhibit D.

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.

IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER CASH HANDLING PROCEDURES FOR YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

OBJECTIVE

Review established internal control procedures over cash handling and perform a walkthrough observation of the fare collection cycle and related processes.

PROCEDURES

Reviewed First Transit, Inc. established guidelines over cash handling. Interviewed management and staff associated with the fare collection cycle. Observed cash count of total fares contained in farebox and confirmed amount collected with amount counted and amount reported.

OBSERVATIONS

It was noted that empty fareboxes are placed inside the transit vehicle under dual custody. Also, at the end of the day, each full farebox is removed, also under dual custody, and locked inside a vault. All cash fares are counted the following day by two staff members in a locked room. Once cash is counted it is placed in sealed deposit bags and kept under key until ready to be deposited at the bank.

During the performance of this review it was noted that transit operator's report logs do not contain a column on which they could keep track of any overages or shortages in fares received. It was noted that it is common for passengers not to have the correct change and overpay but transit operators have no way of keeping track of this on their report logs. It is recommended to modify these reports with a section for any fare overages or shortages noted by transit operator. This would have an impact on reducing variances noted in the Farebox Accounting Report prepared by First Transit, Inc. It is noted that actual fares and not projected fares are those fares reported to the ICTC as fares received.

CONCLUSION

We found that the internal controls set by First Transit, Inc. are adequate based on established guidelines and that actual fares received are properly reported to the ICTC.

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.

IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM STATEMENT OF FIXED RATE, FAREBOX REVENUE AND NET SUBSIDY JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

FI	Centro	Shuttle -	- Rlue	line	Service
	CEIILIO	Jiiuttie i	- Diuc		Jei vice

Date	Base Cost	Farebox	Net Subsidy	Fuel Escalator	Marketing	Net Cost and Total Paid to First Transit
July, 2012	\$ 26,573	\$ 1,241	\$ 25,332	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,332
August, 2012	28,147	886	27,261	60	606	27,927
September, 2012	25,001	1,570	23,431	125	-	23,556
October, 2012	28,168	695	27,473	293	_	27,766
November, 2012	25,799	698	25,101	-	_	25,101
December, 2012	25,800	1,448	24,352	_	41	24,393
January, 2013	26,591	1,023	25,568	_	-	25,568
February, 2013	23,003	580	22,423	78	424	22,925
March, 2013	24,583	496	24,087	142	-	24,229
April, 2013	25,373	1,736	23,637	40	482	24,159
May, 2013	25,375	721	24,654	_	-	24,654
June, 2013	23,791	537	23,254	32	-	23,286
,						
Total	\$ 308,204	\$ 11,631	\$ 296,573	\$ 770	\$ 1,553	\$ 298,896
Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.2 For Base Cost and Base Subsidy	\$ 315,040	\$ 12,602	\$ 302,438			
Over (Under) Contract Requirements	\$ (6,836)	\$ (971)	\$ (5,865)			
Farebox Ratio Actual		3.7%				
Farebox Ratio Contract		4.0%				

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.

IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM STATEMENT OF FIXED RATE, FAREBOX REVENUE AND NET SUBSIDY JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

El Centro Shuttle-Green Line Service

Date	Base Cost	Farebox	Net Subsidy	Fuel Escalator	Marketing	Net Cost and Total Paid to First Transit
July, 2012	\$ 26,606	\$ 524	\$ 26,082	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,082
August, 2012	28,181	728	27,453	58	· -	27,511
September, 2012	25,005	979	24,026	121	-	24,147
October, 2012	28,181	861	27,320	282	-	27,602
November, 2012	25,815	754	25,061	_	226	25,287
December, 2012	25,803	675	25,128	=	44	25,172
January, 2013	26,600	812	25,788	=	-	25,788
February, 2013	22,999	645	22,354	78	304	22,736
March, 2013	24,586	650	23,936	138	-	24,074
April, 2013	25,375	684	24,691	40	-	24,731
May, 2013	25,375	659	24,716	-	-	24,716
June, 2013	23,793	650	23,143	32	-	23,175
Total	\$ 308,319	\$ 8,621	\$ 299,698	\$ 749	\$ 574	\$ 301,021
Contract Specifications						
Paragraph 8.1.2						
For Base Cost						
and Base Subsidy	\$ 315,040	\$ 12,602	\$ 302,438			
Over (Under) Contract						
Requirements	\$ (6,721)	\$ (3,981)	\$ (2,740)			
	, (0),1	+ (3)332)	, (=,::5)			
Farebox Ratio Actual		2.8%				
Farebox Ratio Contract		4.0%				

FIRST TRANSIT, INC. IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF FIXED RATE, FAREBOX REVENUE AND NET SUBSIDY JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

El Centro Shuttle	(Blue and	l Green Line) Services
-------------------	-----------	--------------	------------

Date	Base Cost	Farebox	Net Subsidy	Fuel Escalator	Marketing	Net Cost and Total Paid to First Transit
July, 2012	\$ 53,179	\$ 1,765	\$ 51,414	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,414
August, 2012	56,328	1,614	54,714	118	606	55,438
September, 2012	50,006	2,549	47,457	246	-	47,703
October, 2012	56,349	1,556	54,793	575	-	55,368
November, 2012	51,614	1,452	50,162	-	226	50,388
December, 2012	51,603	2,123	49,480	-	85	49,565
January, 2013	53,191	1,835	51,356	=	_	51,356
February, 2013	46,002	1,225	44,777	156	728	45,661
March, 2013	49,169	1,146	48,023	280	-	48,303
April, 2013	50,748	2,420	48,328	80	482	48,890
May, 2013	50,750	1,380	49,370	-	-	49,370
June, 2013	47,584	1,187	46,397	64	-	46,461
Total	\$ 616,523	\$ 20,252	\$ 596,271	\$ 1,519	\$ 2,127	\$ 599,917
Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.2 For Base Cost and Base Subsidy	\$ 630,080	\$ 25,203	\$ 604,877			
Over (Under) Contract Requirements	\$ (13,557)	\$ (4,951)	\$ (8,606)			
Farebox Ratio Actual		3.3%				
Farebox Ratio Contract		4.0%				

FIRST TRANSIT, INC.

IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM STATEMENT OF FIXED RATE, FAREBOX REVENUE AND NET SUBSIDY JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

Imperial Valle	Transit - Count	vwide Service Line

Date Base Cost Farebox Net Subsidy Escalator Marketing Total Paid to First Transit July, 2012 \$ 203,653 \$ 29,879 \$ 173,774 \$ 1,238 \$ 1,681 \$ 176,693 August, 2012 222,680 40,557 182,123 6,550 7,171 195,844 September, 2012 213,140 44,465 168,675 7,412 840 176,927 October, 2012 236,590 49,612 186,978 7,149 - 194,127 November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972			imperiar v	railey Harisit Co	-	VICE LITE	Net Cost and
July, 2012 \$ 203,653 \$ 29,879 \$ 173,774 \$ 1,238 \$ 1,681 \$ 176,693 August, 2012 222,680 40,557 182,123 6,550 7,171 195,844 September, 2012 213,140 44,465 168,675 7,412 840 176,927 October, 2012 236,590 49,612 186,978 7,149 - 194,127 November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287<	Date	Base Cost	Farebox	Net Subsidy		Marketing	
August, 2012 222,680 40,557 182,123 6,550 7,171 195,844 September, 2012 213,140 44,465 168,675 7,412 840 176,927 October, 2012 236,590 49,612 186,978 7,149 - 194,127 November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specificatio							
September, 2012 213,140 44,465 168,675 7,412 840 176,927 October, 2012 236,590 49,612 186,978 7,149 - 194,127 November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2	July, 2012	\$ 203,653	\$ 29,879	\$ 173,774	\$ 1,238	\$ 1,681	\$ 176,693
October, 2012 236,590 49,612 186,978 7,149 - 194,127 November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost 189,612 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 <td>August, 2012</td> <td>222,680</td> <td>40,557</td> <td>182,123</td> <td>6,550</td> <td>7,171</td> <td>195,844</td>	August, 2012	222,680	40,557	182,123	6,550	7,171	195,844
November, 2012 215,694 41,351 174,343 3,831 11,702 189,876 December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	September, 2012	213,140	44,465	168,675	7,412	840	176,927
December, 2012 207,265 32,232 175,033 2,229 15,440 192,702 January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	October, 2012	236,590	49,612	186,978	7,149	-	194,127
January, 2013 216,559 43,073 173,486 3,616 - 177,102 February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	November, 2012	215,694	41,351	174,343	3,831	11,702	189,876
February, 2013 214,013 39,131 174,882 5,133 8,294 188,309 March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	December, 2012	207,265	32,232	175,033	2,229	15,440	192,702
March, 2013 228,989 45,237 183,752 3,838 81 187,671 April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	January, 2013	216,559	43,073	173,486	3,616	-	177,102
April, 2013 227,972 48,924 179,048 1,995 2,906 183,949 May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	February, 2013	214,013	39,131	174,882	5,133	8,294	188,309
May, 2013 226,539 37,208 189,331 1,277 - 190,608 June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	March, 2013	228,989	45,237	183,752	3,838	81	187,671
June, 2013 218,287 34,453 183,834 2,025 6,656 192,515 Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	April, 2013	227,972	48,924	179,048	1,995	2,906	183,949
Total \$ 2,631,381 \$ 486,122 \$ 2,145,259 \$ 46,293 \$ 54,771 \$ 2,246,323 Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	May, 2013	226,539	37,208	189,331	1,277	-	190,608
Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	June, 2013	218,287	34,453	183,834	2,025	6,656	192,515
Contract Specifications Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	-	¢ 2 624 204	6 406 400	6 2 4 4 5 2 5 0	ć 46.202	ć 54 77 4	ć 2246222
Paragraph 8.1.1.2 For Base Cost	Total	\$ 2,631,381	\$ 486,122	\$ 2,145,259	\$ 46,293	\$ 54,771	\$ 2,246,323
For Base Cost	·						
	• .						
and Base Subsidy \$ 2,737,061 \$ 465,300 \$ 2,271,761							
	and Base Subsidy	\$ 2,737,061	\$ 465,300	\$ 2,271,761			
Over (Under) Contract	Over (Under) Contract						
Requirements \$ (105,680) \$ 20,822 \$ (126,502)	Requirements	\$ (105,680)	\$ 20,822	\$ (126,502)			
Operating Costs \$ 2,732,445	0	Inorating Costs	¢ 2 722 44E				
	O						
Depreciation (249,875)	A 11	•					
Adjusted Operating Costs \$ 2,482,570	Adjusted O	perating Costs	\$ 2,482,570				
Farebox Ratio Actual 19.6%	Farebox Ratio Actual		19.6%				
Farebox Ratio Contract 17.0%	Farebox Ratio Contract		17.0%				

FIRST TRANSIT, INC. IMPERIAL VALLEY TRANSIT SERVICE PROGRAM STATEMENT OF FIXED RATE, FAREBOX REVENUE AND NET SUBSIDY JULY 1, 2012 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2013

All First Transit Services Combined

Date Base Cost Farebox Net Subsidy Fuel Escalator Marketing Total Pair First Train July, 2012 \$ 256,832 \$ 31,644 \$ 225,188 \$ 1,238 \$ 1,681 \$ 228, 42,171 August, 2012 279,008 42,171 236,837 6,668 7,777 251, 52, 52, 53 September, 2012 263,146 47,014 216,132 7,658 840 224, 53 October, 2012 292,939 51,168 241,771 7,724 - 249, 53 November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240, 53						- -	
August, 2012 279,008 42,171 236,837 6,668 7,777 251, September, 2012 263,146 47,014 216,132 7,658 840 224, October, 2012 292,939 51,168 241,771 7,724 - 249, November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240,	Date	Base Cost	Farebox	Net Subsidy		Marketing	Net Cost and Total Paid to First Transit
August, 2012 279,008 42,171 236,837 6,668 7,777 251, September, 2012 263,146 47,014 216,132 7,658 840 224, October, 2012 292,939 51,168 241,771 7,724 - 249, November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240,	July 2012	¢ 256,022 ¢	21.644	ć 22E 100	ć 1.220	ć 1.601	\$ 228,107
September, 2012 263,146 47,014 216,132 7,658 840 224, October, 2012 292,939 51,168 241,771 7,724 - 249, November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240,	•	. ,	•	•			3 228,107 251,282
October, 2012 292,939 51,168 241,771 7,724 - 249, November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240,	•	•	· ·	=	•		224,630
November, 2012 267,308 42,803 224,505 3,831 11,928 240,	•	•	· ·		•		249,495
	•	•	· ·	•	•	_	249,495
December, 2012 258,868 34,355 224,513 2,229 15,525 242,	•	•	· ·	•	· ·	· ·	240,264
						15,525	242,267
·						0.022	233,970
				•	•	·-	235,970
			· ·	•			232,839
·	•		· ·	=	· ·	3,300	232,839
	• •	·	· ·	•	•	-	•
June, 2013 <u>265,871</u> <u>35,640</u> <u>230,231</u> <u>2,089</u> <u>6,656</u> <u>238</u>	June, 2013	205,871	35,640	230,231	2,089	0,030	238,976
Total \$ 3,247,904 \$ 506,374 \$ 2,741,530 \$ 47,812 \$ 56,898 \$ 2,846,	Total	\$ 3,247,904 \$	506,374	\$ 2,741,530	\$ 47,812	\$ 56,898	\$ 2,846,240
Contract Specifications Paragraphs 8.1.1 and 8.1.2 For Base Cost and Base Subsidy \$ 3,367,141 \$ 488,235 \$ 2,878,906	Paragraphs 8.1.1 and 8.1.2 For Base Cost	nd t	\$ 488,235	\$ 2,878,906			
Over (Under) Contract Requirements \$ (119,237) \$ 18,139 \$ (137,376)	•		18,139	\$ (137,376)			
Operating Costs \$ 3,352,614 Depreciation (249,875) Adjusted Operating Costs \$ 3,102,739		Depreciation	(249,875)				
Farebox Ratio Actual 16.3%	Farebox Ratio Actual	al	16.3%				
Farebox Ratio Contract 14.5%	Farebox Ratio Contrac	ract	14.5%				